

4. INFINITE TIME HORIZON

Thus far we have considered finite time Markov decision processes. We now want to solve MDPs of the form

$$V(x) = \underset{\Pi \in \mathcal{P}}{\text{maximize}} \quad R(x, \Pi) := \mathbb{E}_{x_0} \left[\overset{\text{LIMIT}}{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}} \beta^t r(X_t, \pi_t) \right].$$

In the above equation the term β is called the *discount factor*. We can generalize Bellman's equation to infinite time, a correct guess at the form of the equation would, for instance, be

$$V(x) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left\{ r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x,a} \left[V(\hat{X}) \right] \right\}, \quad x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

DISCOUNTED, POSITIVE, NEGATIVE & AVERAGE PROGRAMMING

$$V(x) = \underset{\pi}{\text{MAX}} \underset{T \rightarrow \infty}{\text{LIM}} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sum_{t=0}^T \beta^t r(x_t, \pi_t) \right]$$

LIMIT & MAX INTERACT. SO DIFFERENT CASES

• DISCOUNTED PROGRAMMING: $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $\text{MAX}_{x, a} |r(x, a)| < \infty$

• POSITIVE PROGRAMMING: $\beta \in (0, 1]$, $r(x, a) \geq 0$

• NEGATIVE PROGRAMMING: $\beta \in (0, 1]$, $r(x, a) \leq 0$
[OR MINIMIZE $c(x, a) \geq 0$]

• AVERAGE PROGRAMMING:

$$\underset{T \rightarrow \infty}{\text{LIM}} \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sum_{t=0}^T r(x_t, \pi_t) \right]$$

DISCOUNTED PROGRAMMING RESULT.

Thrm 43. For a discounted program, the optimal policy $V(x)$ satisfies

$$V(x) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left\{ r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x,a} [V(\hat{X})] \right\}.$$

Moreover, if we find a function $R(x)$ such that

$$R(x) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left\{ r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x,a} [R(\hat{X})] \right\}$$

then $R(x) = V(x)$, i.e. the solution to the Bellman equation is unique, and we find a function $\pi(x)$ such that

$$\pi(x) \in \operatorname{argmax}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left\{ r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x,a} [R(\hat{X})] \right\}$$

Then π is optimal and $R(x, \pi) = R(x) = V(x)$ the optimal value function.

PROOF: FIRST LETS SHOW THE BELLMAN EQUATION HOLDS:

$$R_t(x, \pi) = r(x, \pi_0) + \beta \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1}(\hat{x}, \hat{\pi})]$$

TAKE LIMITS
 $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$R(x, \pi) = r(x, \pi_0) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, \pi} [R(\hat{x}, \hat{\pi})] \\ \leq r(x, \pi_0) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, \pi_0} [V(\hat{x})]$$

NOW MAXIMIZE
OVER π_0 & π ,

$$V(x) = \max_{\pi} R(x, \pi)$$

$$\leq \max_a \{ r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [V(\hat{x})] \}$$

SO WE HAVE

$$V(x) \leq \max_a \{ r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [V(\hat{x})] \}.$$

LET $\hat{\pi}$ BE SUCH THAT $R(x, \hat{\pi}) \geq V(x) - \epsilon$

THEN FOR POLICY π THAT DOES a THEN $\hat{\pi}$

$$V(x) \geq R(x, \pi)$$

$$= r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [R(\hat{x}, \hat{\pi})]$$

$$\geq r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [V(\hat{x})] - \beta \epsilon$$

LET $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ & THEN MAXIMIZE OVER a :

$$V(x) \geq \max_a \{ r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [V(\hat{x})] \}$$

\therefore BELLMAN HOLDS

$$V(x) = \max_a \{ r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [V(\hat{x})] \} \checkmark$$

PROOF (CONTINUED):

WANT: TO SHOW UNIQUENESS OF SOLN

FIRST A DEFINITION

↗ [SIMILAR TO UNIQUENESS FOR MARKOV CHAINS].

Def 9 (Q-Factor). The Q-factor of reward function $R(\cdot)$ is the value for taking action a in state x and then at the next step receiving reward $R(\hat{X})$:

$$Q_R(x, a) = \mathbb{E}_{x,a}[r(x, a) + \beta R(\hat{X})].$$

Similarly the Q-factor for a policy π , denoted by $Q_\pi(x, a)$, is given by the above expression with $R(x) = R(x, \pi)$. The Q-factor of the optimal policy is given by

$$Q^*(x, a) = \max_{\pi} Q_\pi(x, a).$$

SUPPOSE $R(x)$ IS ANOTHER SOL^N. SO $R(x) = \underset{a}{\text{MAX}} Q_R(x, a)$

THEN

$$\begin{aligned} Q_V(x, a) - Q_R(x, a) &= \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [V(\hat{x}) - R(\hat{x})] \\ &= \beta \mathbb{E}_x \left[\underset{a}{\text{MAX}} Q(\hat{x}, a) - \underset{a'}{\text{MAX}} Q(\hat{x}, a') \right] \end{aligned}$$

SO

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_V - Q_R\|_\infty &\leq \beta \underset{x}{\text{MAX}} \left| \underset{a}{\text{MAX}} Q_V(\hat{x}, a) - \underset{a'}{\text{MAX}} Q_R(\hat{x}, a') \right| \\ &\leq \beta \underset{x, a}{\text{MAX}} |Q_V(\hat{x}, a) - Q_R(\hat{x}, a')| \\ &= \beta \|Q_V - Q_R\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

\therefore ONLY SOLUTION $\hookrightarrow Q_V = Q_R$

$$\therefore R(x) = \underset{a}{\text{MAX}} Q_R(x, a) = \underset{a}{\text{MAX}} Q_V(x, a) = V(x)$$

SOLUTION IS
UNIQUE ✓

PROOF (CONTINUED):

WANT: IF $\pi(x) \in \text{ARG-MAX} \left\{ r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [R(\hat{x})] \right\}$
THEN $R(x, \pi) \stackrel{a}{=} R(x)$. (+)

TO SHOW THIS NOTE $R(x)$ SOLVES

$$R(x) = r(x, \pi(x)) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [R(\hat{x})] \quad (\#)$$

WHERE UNDER π X_t IS NOW A MARKOV CHAIN.

BY OUR PROPOSITION ON MARKOV CHAINS

SOLUTION TO (#) IS UNIQUE & GIVEN BY $R(x, \pi)$

SO $R(x) = R(x, \pi) \therefore \pi$ IS OPTIMAL. \square

SOME FACTS ON Q-FACTORS:

Prop 49. a) Stationary Q-factors satisfy the recursion

$$Q_\pi(x, a) = \mathbb{E}_{x,a}[r(x, a) + \beta Q_\pi(\hat{X}, \pi(\hat{X}))].$$

b) Bellman's Equation can be re-expressed in terms of Q-factors as follows

$$Q^*(x, a) = \mathbb{E}_{x,a}[r(x, a) + \beta \max_{\hat{a}} Q^*(\hat{X}, \hat{a})].$$

The optimal value function satisfies

$$V(x) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q^*(x, a).$$

c) The operation

$$F_{x,a}(Q) = \mathbb{E}_{x,a}[r(x, a) + \beta Q_\pi(\hat{X}, \pi(\hat{X}))]$$

is a contraction with respect to the supremum norm, that is,

$$\|F(Q_1) - F(Q_2)\|_\infty \leq \|Q_1 - Q_2\|_\infty.$$

POSITIVE PROGRAMMING.

Thm 50. Consider a positive program the optimal value function $V(x)$ is the minimal non-negative solution to the Bellman equation

$$R(x) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left\{ r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [R(\hat{X})] \right\}.$$

Thus if we find a policy π whose reward function $R(x, \pi)$ satisfies the Bellman equation. Then it is optimal.

PROOF: SOLUTION OVER $T+1$ STEPS IS

$$V_{T+1}(x) = \max_a r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [V_T(\hat{x})]$$

WITH $V_0(x) = 0$. $V_T(x)$ IS INCREASING IN T

LET

$$V_\infty(x) = \sup_T V_T(x) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} V_T(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\infty}(x) &= \sup_T \max_{a \in A} r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [V_T(\hat{x})] \\
&= \max_a r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} \left[\sup_T V_T(\hat{x}) \right] \\
&= \max_a r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [V_{\infty}(\hat{x})]
\end{aligned}$$

MONOTONE
CONVEX

CLEARLY $V(x) \geq V_T(x) \therefore V(x) \geq V_{\infty}(x)$

BUT

$$V_T(x) \geq R_T(x, \pi) \quad \forall \pi \quad \therefore V_{\infty}(x) \geq R(x, \pi) \quad \forall \pi$$

$$\therefore V_{\infty}(x) \geq V(x)$$

So $V_{\infty}(x) = V(x)$. \square [THIS GIVES VALUE ITERATION ARGUMENT].

NEGATIVE PROGRAMMING:

NEEDS STRONGER CONDITIONS TO WORK

$$L_T(x) = \min_{\pi} C_T(x, \pi) \leq L(x)$$

i.e. $\max_T \min_{\pi} C_T(x, \pi) \leq \min_{\pi} \max_T C_T(x, \pi)$

[NOT OBVIOUS HOW TO SWAP MIN & MAX]

Thm 52. Consider a negative program, minimizing positive costs.
For the minimal non-negative solution to the Bellman equation

$$L(x) = \min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{l(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [L(\hat{X})]\}, \quad (1.8)$$

any stationary policy π that solves the Bellman equation:

$$\pi(x) \in \operatorname{argmin}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{c(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [L(\hat{X})]\}$$

is optimal.

PROOF: USE ROLL OUT.

$$\begin{aligned}L(x) &= \min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{c(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [L(X_1)]\} \\&= c(x, \pi(x)) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, \pi(x)} [L(X_1)] \\&= c(X_0, \pi(X_0)) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{X_0, \pi(X_0)} [c(X_1, \pi(X_1)) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{X_1, \pi(X_1)} [L(X_2)]] \\&= C_1(x, \pi) + \beta^2 \mathbb{E}_{x, \pi} [L(X_2)] \\&\vdots \\&= C_T(x, \pi) + \beta^T \mathbb{E}_{x, \pi} [L(X_{T+1})].\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$L(x) = C_T(x, \pi) + \beta^T \mathbb{E}_{x, \pi} [L(x_{T+1})] \geq C_T(x, \pi) \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{\text{M.C.T.}} C(x, \pi).$$

So the policy has lower cost, and thus is optimal.

AVERAGE PROGRAMMING:

$$C_T(x_0, \pi) = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} c(x_t, \pi_t) \right].$$

We look at the limit of the average

$$\bar{C}(\pi) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_T(x_0, \pi)}{T},$$

AVERAGE PROGRAMMING:

Thrm 53. *If there exists a constant λ and a bounded function $\kappa(x)$ such that*

$$\kappa(x) \leq \min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left\{ c(x, a) - \lambda + \mathbb{E}_{x,a}[\kappa(\hat{x})] \right\}. \quad (1.9)$$

Then, for all policies $\tilde{\pi}$,

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_T(x_0, \tilde{\pi})}{T} \geq \lambda. \quad (1.10)$$

Moreover, if there exists a stationary policy $\pi(x)$ such that

$$\kappa(x) \geq c(x, \pi(x)) - \lambda + \mathbb{E}_{x, \pi(x)}[\kappa(\hat{x})]$$

then

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_T(x_0, \pi)}{T} \leq \lambda$$

and thus the policy π has optimal long-run cost.

Proof. Let

$$M_t = \kappa(X_t) + \sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} \{c(X_\tau, \tilde{\pi}_\tau) - \lambda\}.$$

Under condition (1.9), M_t is a sub-Martingale:

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{t+1} - M_t | X_t = x, \tilde{\pi}_t = a] = \mathbb{E}_{x,a}[\kappa(\hat{x})] - \kappa(x) + c(x, a) - \lambda \geq 0.$$

Thus

$$\kappa(x) = \mathbb{E}[M_0] \leq \mathbb{E}[M_T] = \mathbb{E}[\kappa(X_T)] - \lambda T + C_T(x, \Pi)$$

and so

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_T(x, \tilde{\pi})}{T} \geq \lambda x$$

✓ FIRST CONDITION

Under condition (1.10), M_t is a super-Martingale when $\tilde{\pi} = \pi$. So

$$\kappa(x) = \mathbb{E}[M_0] \geq \mathbb{E}[M_T] = \mathbb{E}[\kappa(X_T)] - \lambda T + C_T(x, \Pi)$$

and so

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_T(x, \tilde{\pi})}{T} \leq \lambda.$$

□

MARTINGALE PRINCIPLE OF OPTIMALITY.

Prop 54 (A Martingale Principle of Optimal Control.). Consider discounted program. Suppose for a bounded function $R : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we define a process $(M_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$ whose increments, $\Delta M(X_t) := M_{t+1} - M_t$, are given by

$$\Delta M(x) = R(x) - \beta R(\hat{x}) - r(x, \pi(x))$$

If M_t is a supermartingale for all policies π' and, for some π , M_t is a martingale, then π is the optimal policy and $R(x) = R(x, \pi)$.

Proof. M_t is a martingale [resp. supermartingale] iff

$$M_t^\beta := \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta^s \Delta M(X_s)$$

is a martingale [resp. supermartingale]. Taking expectations,

$$0 \leq \mathbb{E}_x[M_t^\beta] = \mathbb{E}_x\left[R(x) - \beta^{t+1}R(X_{t+1}) - \sum_{s=0}^t \beta^s r(X_s, \pi(X_s))\right]$$

Rearranging and letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ gives, for π' ,

$$R(x) \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta^s r(X_s, \pi'(X_s))\right],$$

where the inequality above holds with equality if M_t^β is a martingale for some π . Thus we see that $R(x) \geq V(x)$, where $V(x)$ is the value function for the MDP and $R(x) = V(x) = R(x, \pi)$. \square

SUMMARY: INFINITE TIME MDPs

- BELLMAN'S EQN STILL HOLDS

$$V(x) = \text{MAX}_a \left\{ r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [V(\hat{x})] \right\}$$

OR

$$Q(x, a) = r(x, a) + \beta \mathbb{E}_{x, a} \left[\text{MAX}_{a'} Q(\hat{x}, a') \right]$$

- DISCOUNTED PROGRAMMING $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $\|r\|_\infty < \infty$
 - ANY SOLUTION TO BELLMAN WILL DO
 - PROOF USES CONTRACTION PROPERTY OF $Q(x, a)$

• POSITIVE PROGRAMMING $\gamma \geq 0, \beta = 1$

- MINIMAL SOLUTION TO BELLMAN OR A POLICY WILL DO
- PROOF REPEATEDLY SOLVE FINITE TIME BELLMAN EQN.

• NEGATIVE PROGRAM $c \geq 0$ OR $\gamma \leq 0, \beta = 1$

- MINIMAL SOLUTION TO BELLMAN & A STATIONARY POLICY!
- PROOF ROLL OUT BELLMAN EQN.

• AVERAGE PROGRAM $\frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T r(x_t, a_t) \right]$

◦ FIND h, λ s.t. $k(x) = \min_a \{ c(x, a) - \lambda + \mathbb{E}_{x, a} [k(\hat{x})] \}$

◦ PROOF MARTINGALE ARGUMENT.

